

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,405	01/12/2001	Xiaoning Nie	GR 00 P 1031	1766	
24131	7590 11/05/2004		EXAMINER		
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480			LI, AIMEE J		
-	DD, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2183	2183	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/760,405	NIE, XIAONING				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aimee J Li	2183				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2004 FAILS TO PLATHEREFORE, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (1) appearance (1	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:	,					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments constitute a change in scope that would require further search and consideration. For example, the proposed amendment to independent claim 1 now further defines that the instructions in a bundle are able to be executed in parallel. The originally presented claims were silent in regards to how the instructions of a bundle were required to be executed, and thus the proposed amendments change the scope of the originally filed claim and would require further search and/or consideration.

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100